

California Comprehensive Early Learning Plan

Local Input Meeting Results Summary: 11/03/12, Pasadena, CA

6. CCCECE Region 7 - 11/03/12

Hosted by: CA Community College Early Childhood Educators Region 7		
Primary facilitator(s) name:	Phone: (661) 362-3512	
Cindy Stephens	E-mail: cindy.stephens@canyons.edu	
Number of attendees not including	CCELP Element(s) that you focused on:	
facilitator(s): 7	Workforce Development	

6.I. Getting Started

Visioning activity

Please list statements and themes from your meeting here. Please use bullet points:

- The group was focused on the need for financial support to enable us to create a quality and well paid work force for the very young.
- The need for high quality training.
- The need for an Early Learning Credential

6.II. Clarifying and Building on Plan Elements

CCELP Element #1: M: Workforce Development

Prioritizing question. Please use bullet points: Priority 1 thru 5

- 1. M.14. Establish clear timelines with systemic support for an articulation and transfer...
- 2. M.2. Set higher compensation levels for ECE teachers if ECE teacher education standards...
- 3. M.7. Develop the Early Childhood Educator Competencies, which include the Foundations...
- 4. M.8. Require credit-bearing courses for associates and bachelors degrees in early...
- 5. M.13. Ensure broad availability of college courses and professional development...

Additional Comments:

There were actual a couple of ties:

- M14 was clearly number 1
- M2, M7, M8, all were tied at 4 votes each
- M11, M12, M13 were tied at 3 votes each
- There was discussion about combining some of them that made a good fit.
- The consensus was M2, M7, M8 and M14 should be somehow linked

6.III. Prioritizing Final Plan Elements

Voting

- 1. Workforce Development
- 2. Program Quality Assessment
- 3. Access to Quality Early Learning and Care
- 4. Family and Community Engagement
- 5. Early Childhood Mental Health/Health

6.IV. Evaluation Comments

What was useful about our work today?



California Comprehensive Early Learning Plan

Local Input Meeting Results Summary: 11/03/12, Pasadena, CA

We all felt that it was positive that we were being asked to participate in the comprehensive plan. Those of in attendance were both faculty and center staff from the community college child development centers.

What suggestions do you have for the other local input meetings?

None

6.V. Facilitator's Evaluation

Please rate how easy it was to use this toolkit

1 – Very easy to use	2	3	4 – Very hard to use
X			