

Local Input Meeting Results Summary: 11/05/12, Napa, CA**30. Napa County Local Child Care Planning Council – 11/05/12**

Hosted by: Napa County Local Child Care Planning Council	
Primary facilitator(s) name: Becky Billing	Phone: (707) 259-5998 E-mail: bbilling@napacoe.org
Number of attendees not including facilitator(s): 10	CCELP Element(s) that you focused on: 1. Access to Quality Early Learning and Care 2. Family and Community Engagement

30.I. Getting Started**Visioning activity**

Please list statements and themes from your meeting here. Please use bullet points:

- Workforce Development (Compensation and Education)
- Birth to 8 years focus blending early childhood and special education at the state, local and classroom levels
- High quality family support
- Opportunities for all children 0-5 to participate in quality services appropriate to their family's need.
- Compensation for quality educators, materials, technology and facilities.
- Educate potential parents and parents about their role in their child's development; the importance of brain development and overall child development.
- Preschool for all.

30.II. Clarifying and Building on Plan Elements**CCELP Element: A. Access to Quality Early Learning and Care**

Prioritizing question. Please use bullet points: Priority 1 thru 3

1. A.22. Raise the quality of early learning and care programs through a...
2. A.18. Restructure the child care reimbursement system for publicly funded...
3. A.4. Create a new state revenue source that supports early learning birth to five...

Additional Comments:

- A18: added ...publicly funded "birth to 5" programs...
- A4: added..."for all children"
- Group only selected top three

CCELP Element: I. Family and Community Engagement

Prioritizing question. Please use bullet points: Priority 1 thru 5

1. I.4. Provide training for teachers on building partnerships with families.
2. New: Expand "community engagement" to include partners that are reflective of the community and identify local resources and gaps in services in supporting families with children (-1 to 5).
3. I.5. Support family engagement in developmental and early learning services...
4. I.3. Consider funding programs in conjunctions with other evidence-based strategies...

Local Input Meeting Results Summary: 11/05/12, Napa, CA

5. New: I.13: Provide a network that offers opportunities to visit and observe communities that support systematic change in serving children and families and offer technical assistance to communities interested in establishing similar systems.

Additional Comments:

- I.3: changed term disadvantaged children to "families and their children".
- I.4: Added ...and ongoing support for establishing and maintaining partnerships. (Program examples are Strengthening Families and Reflective Supervision)

30.III. Prioritizing Final Plan Elements

Voting

1. Access to Quality Early Learning and Care
2. Workforce Development
3. Family and Community Engagement

30.IV. Evaluation Comments

What was useful about our work today?

1. The team spirit; the discussion/hearing other's thoughts.
2. Sharing with providers with different perspectives.
3. Fine tuning the definition of community.
4. Excellent facilitator
5. Having an opportunity to hear diverse viewpoints and still come to consensus.
6. Working with others; networking.
7. Process was good but a little tough to follow.
8. Working in small groups and discussing early childhood issues with others. Getting to hear others point of view.
9. Opportunity to share ideas about our community to the larger project; networking.
10. By working in groups we were able to discuss the items and each had input on the various points. Some items were unknown, so others were able to explain them (like Title 1 and 5).
11. Sitting down with other professionals to discuss issues related to early learning in California; thinking through and identifying priorities.
12. Gathering valid viewpoints from those who are in the field and who know best what is needed!

What suggestions do you have for the other local input meetings?

1. More publicizing-there needs to be more people present to represent all aspects of child care and education.
2. I would have loved it had there been more individuals present. I thought that the setting and accommodations were great!
3. Make sure as many stakeholders as possible participate in this activities.
4. Have definitions or more detailed descriptions for the recommendations-it was hard to determine the meaning behind the policy info.
5. Time - maybe a bit earlier.
6. Keep small groups - encourages interaction.

30.V. Facilitator's Evaluation

Please rate how easy it was to use this toolkit

1 – Very easy to use	2	3	4 – Very hard to use
X			